Application Number		09/927,496	ntroi No.	Re	oplicant(s)/Patent (eexamination O ET AL.	inder		
Document Code - DISQ			Internal D	00	cument – DO NOT MAIL			
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER	⊠ APPROVED			☐ DISAPPROVED				
Date Filed : June 22, 2007	This patent is subject to a Terminal Disclaimer			t				
Approved/Disapproved b	y:							
Henry D. Jefferson								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

Date:	~									
SUBJECT: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer(T.D.) filed: INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate programs admitted by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant (I you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL (INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complease initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. ose not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only demand of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature in the application of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: Is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). In a failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). Is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the real and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The Scrial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.26.03). The serial number of the	Date:			28-Jun-07	APPL. S. N:	09927496				
Drop-Off Location PARALEGAL SPCECIALIST Drop-Off Location INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you aliagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complease initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statuto double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular dalmis(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a ter portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the real and frame number	To Exami	ner:		NGUYEN, HUY THANH	Art Unit	2621				
INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the approprior paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complease initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. Is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. Is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. Is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statuto double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. Is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a ter portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a	From			J		JEF-2D68				
form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICATION R (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complease initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statuto double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a ter portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel an frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) wh	SUBJECT	: Decisio	n on Termi <mark>nal</mark>	Disclaimer(T.D.) filed:						
The T.D. Is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statuto double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a ter portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The Serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Cher: Suggestion to r	form para or have a	agraphs id ny questi	dentified by th ions, please se	is informal memo in your next O e me or the Special Program Ex	office action to notify applicant of a miner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, I	the T.D. If you disagree NTERNAL MEMO ONLY.				
The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The TD fee of \$0.00 has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statuto double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a ter portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).	please ini	tial, date	and return th	is memo to me. THANK YOU.						
The TD fee of \$0.00 has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statuto double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a ter portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel an frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.	v	The T.D.	is PROPER and	d has been recorded (see 14.23)).					
use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statuto double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a ter portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel an frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.		The T.D.	is NOT PROPE	R and has not been accepted for	r the reason(s) checked below (se	e 14.24):				
his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statuto double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a ter portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.					d nor is there any authorization i	n the application file for the				
double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a ter portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.6. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel an frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			his/her intere	st (and/or the extent of the inter	rest of the business entity represe					
portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel an frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			The T.D. lacks double patent	s the enforceable only during cor ling rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 1	mmon ownership clause - needed 14.27.01).	to overcome a non-statutory				
is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel an frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).							
has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The person w	ho signed the T.D.:						
is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel an frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			is no	ot an attorney "of record" (see 1	4.29 and 14.29.01).					
No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel an frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			has	failed to state his/her capacity to	o sign for the business entity (see	· 14.28).				
nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			is no	ot recognized as an officer of the	assignee (see 14.29 & possible 1	.4.29.02).				
The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			nor is the ree (see 37 CFR 3	l and frame number specified as 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTI	to where such evidence is record E: This documentary evidence or	ed in the Office the specifying of the reel and				
patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The T.D. is no	t signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03)).					
disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.						the basis for the double				
Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.						reissue cases being				
Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The period dis	sclaimed is incorrect or not speci	fied (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.2	6.03).				
and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			Other:			<u> </u>				
					TE: If already authorized, credit i	refund to deposit account				
Ex.Initials: Log Date: 02-Feb-07	I have ap	propriate	ly notified app	licant(s) of the status of the Ter	minal Disclaimer filed in this case	•				
	Ex.Initials	s:	Date	2:	Log Date: 02-F	-eb-07				

Docket No.: 1293.1059CIP2D4

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Jung-wan KO et al.

Serial No. 09/927,496

Group Art Unit: 2621

Confirmation No. 7491

Filed: August 13, 2001

Examiner: Huy Thanh Nguyen

For: METHOD OF RECORDING AND/OR PLAYING BACK CATALOG INFORMATION

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER (37 C.F.R. §1.321(C))

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

INTEREST AND TITLE OF PERSON MAKING THIS TERMINAL DISCLAIMER

Petitioner, James G. McEwen, represents that I am an attorney of record of the Assignee identified below owning the entire interest in the above-identified application and am authorized to sign on behalf of the Assignee.

IDENTITY OF ASSIGNEE

The Assignee is SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Republic of Korea having an office and principal place of business at Suwon-City, Korea.

RECORDAL OF ASSIGNMENT IN USPTO

The Assignment of the above-identified application was recorded in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on Reel 011507, Frame 0911.

COMMON OWNERSHIP OF U.S. PATENTS IN ATTACHMENT A

Pursuant to Rule 3.73(b), the Assignee is the current owner of the subject application pursuant to the Assignment identified above. Assignee further confirms that it remains the owner of U.S. Patents set forth in Attachment A, the assignments for which are recorded in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on Reel 011404, Frame 0316, Reel 009948, Frame 0044 and/or Reel 011507, Frame 0911.

Docket No.: 1293.1059CIP2D4

CERTIFICATION OF TITLE

The evidentiary documents have been reviewed and the undersigned certifies that, to the best of said Assignee's knowledge and belief, title of the above-identified application and the U.S. Patents set forth in Attachment A and the U.S. Patent Applications set forth in Attachment B are in the said Assignee.

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER

Assignee hereby disclaims the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent, granted on the above-identified application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of the any U.S. Patent set forth on Attachment A and any U.S. Patent issuing from the U.S. Patent Applications set forth in Attachment B, and hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the above-identified application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that the legal title to said patent shall be the same as the legal title to the U.S. Patents set forth on Attachment A and any U.S. Patent issuing from U.S. Patent Applications set forth in Attachment B. This agreement runs with any patent granted on the above-identified application and is to be binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns.

Assignee does not disclaim any terminal part of any patent granted on the above-identified application prior to the expiration date of the full statutory term of any U.S. Patent set forth on Attachment A and any U.S. Patent issuing from the U.S. Patent Applications set forth in Attachment B in the event that one or more of the U.S. Patents set forth on Attachment A and any U.S. Patent issuing from the U.S. Patent Applications set forth in Attachment B later expires for failure to pay a maintenance fee, is held unenforceable, is found invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, is statutorily disclaimed in whole or terminally disclaimed under 37 C.F.R. §1.321, has all claims cancelled by a reexamination certificate, is reissued, or is otherwise terminated prior to the expiration of its statutory term as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer, except for the separation of legal title stated above.

The undersigned hereby declares that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. §1001, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

FEE

The requisite disclaimer fee under 37 C.F.R. §1.20(d) of \$130.00 is attached hereto.

Date: 10NG 22, 2007

James G. McEwen

Registration No: 41,983

Docket No.: 1293.1059CIP2D4

ATTACHMENT A: LIST OF PATENTS

U.S. Patent No. 7,184,651
U.S. Patent No. 7,167,636
U.S. Patent No. 6,810,201
U.S. Patent No. 7,197,235
U.S. Patent No. 7,184,651
U.S. Patent No. 7,177,527
U.S. Patent No. 7,167,636
U.S. Patent No. 6,904,230
U.S. Patent No. 6,771,892
U.S. Patent No. 6,678,467
U.S. Patent No. 6,744,972
U.S. Patent No. 6,810,201
U.S. Patent No. 6,741,800

Serial No. 09/927,496

Docket No.: 1293.1059CIP2D4

ATTACHMENT B: LIST OF PATENT APPLICATIONS

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/924,094

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/625,735